

Jaroslav Janacek – Ludmila Janosikova *

COMPUTABILITY OF THE EMERGENCY SERVICE SYSTEM DESIGN PROBLEM

The paper deals with emergency medical system design using methods of mathematical programming. The problem consists in optimal location of stations, where ambulance vehicles should be placed. Several possible objective functions are discussed and the relevant mathematical programming models are presented. The comparison of the solutions is reported based on the computational experiments in the conditions of the Slovak Republic.

1. Introduction

The medical emergency system design is a crucial task for each responsible designer due to the interaction of two opposite demands on the system performance. On the one hand the designer is forced not to exceed a given number of located facilities – ambulance vehicles and to solve a large facility location problem. On the other hand, he must ensure the accessibility of the service for potential patients. This accessibility is usually given by a fixed time limit, in which some ambulance vehicle should reach an arbitrary located potential patient [2], [12], [13].

This last demand is hard to meet, because of random travel time on a real road network. In addition, when an accident occurs, an ambulance starts its trip to the accident location to provide the service, which consists of first aid to casualties and their transportation to a hospital. Within this service, the facility (ambulance vehicle) cannot perform any service of other demands. It means that if some other accident occurs simultaneously in the area of this vehicle, then some other ambulance must serve it, or service of the later accident must be considerably postponed. This way, the service system works like a queuing system [11]. Under these circumstances, the access condition cannot be fulfilled absolutely, but only with some probability. Due to the impossibility to include means of the queuing theory into analytical models of the location problem, there are used various surrogate criteria such as an average or total travel time from the ambulance location to potential patients, which belong to the ambulance servicing area [6], [7], [8]. Another type of criterion (covering criterion) is that a maximal travel time from the nearest ambulance location to a customer should not exceed a given value. Designers face the above-mentioned ambulance occupation by using so called double coverage criterion, which is formulated so that the number of potential patients, which lie within a given time radius of two or more facilities should be maximal [2].

Usage of each of these criteria leads to a particular model of mathematical programming [5]. An exact method applied to the particular model has its specific demands for computational time and memory. In the next sections, we present an overview of these criteria, report about preliminary computational experiments and perform a comparison of them.

2. The emergency service system design problem and quality criteria formalization

Within the scope of this paper we confine ourselves to the problem, in which a medical emergency service system is designed. In contrast to the private service systems, the objective of this sort of public service system should stress equity of a “customer” in access to the provided service.

The emergency service system design belongs to the family of location problems [1], in which it must be decided on centre locations, where ambulance vehicles should be placed, because an effective satisfaction of the potential patient demands is possible only if the corresponding service provider concentrates its sources at several places of the served area and provides the service from these places only. The served area consists of dwelling places placed in nodes of a road network. These dwelling places form a finite set J . The number of inhabitants of dwelling place $j \in J$ will be denoted as b_j . The emergency service system design problem can be formulated as a decision about location of at most p emergency centers at some places from a larger set I of possible center locations so that the value of chosen criterion is minimal. The question, which must be answered first, is: “How to estimate the time of access to a customer?” Let j be customer’s location and i be a centre of the service provider. Both the locations are nodes of a road network, which consists of links and nodes. Based on the link quality, each link belongs to a class from a finite classification

* Jaroslav Janacek, Ludmila Janosikova

Department of Transportation Networks, Faculty of Management and Informatics, University of Zilina, Slovakia,
E-mail: jaroslav.janacek@fri.uniza.sk

system. In accordance to this system, an average speed is assigned to each link. This way, an estimation of the necessary traversing time for each link can be obtained from the link length and the average speed corresponding to the link class. Using this time instead of the link length, the accessibility time t_{ij} can be enumerated as the time length of the shortest path in the network connecting i and j . Time $t_{ij}(\mathbf{v})$ is a function of vector $\mathbf{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r \rangle$ of the speeds, which corresponds to the particular link classes. Nevertheless, the average speeds are not constant, but they depend on weather, traffic volume and other dynamically changing conditions. Considering this condition variability, no system design ensures full satisfaction of the estimated time and each further developed criterion is enumerated in accordance to a given speed scenario \mathbf{v} . Let $i(\mathbf{v}, j)$ represent the located centre, which is the time-nearest one to j considering the link speeds given by \mathbf{v} . Further, let $I_1 \subseteq I$ denotes the set of places, in which an ambulance vehicle is located.

After these preliminaries, we formulate the particular criteria. The first family of “allocation criteria” is represented by the total travel time from the ambulance location to potential patients. This criterion can be described by the following expression:

$$\sum_{j \in J} b_j t_{i(\mathbf{v}, j) j}(\mathbf{v}) \quad (1)$$

This criterion doesn't reflect equity of a “customer” in access to the provided services at all. The original requirement of the concerned public is that each inhabited place must be reachable within time T^{max} from at least one service centre from set I_1 . The next criterion, which also belongs to the family of “allocation criteria” takes into account the size of affliction of potential patients, which are out of the time limit:

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J \\ t_{i(\mathbf{v}, j) j}(\mathbf{v}) > T^{max}}} b_j (t_{i(\mathbf{v}, j) j}(\mathbf{v}) - T^{max}) \quad (2)$$

The second family of “covering criteria” [2] is represented by the criterion, which simply counts the potential patients, which are out of the time limit:

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J \\ t_{i(\mathbf{v}, j) j}(\mathbf{v}) > T^{max}}} b_j \quad (3)$$

The third family of “double coverage criteria” [2] is represented by the criterion, which counts the potential patients not covered at least from two ambulance locations. It is said that a patient is covered if the distance to the nearest ambulance station is less than a given limit T^{max} . Let $s(\mathbf{v}, j)$ represent the second time-nearest station to j considering the link speeds given by \mathbf{v} ; $s(\mathbf{v}, j)$ belongs to the set $I_1 \subseteq I$ of places, in which an ambulance vehicle is located. The formulation of the last criterion can be as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J \\ t_{i(\mathbf{v}, j) j}(\mathbf{v}) > T^{max}}} b_j \quad (4)$$

The expressions (1)–(4) are to be minimized subject to the constraint that the number of located facilities must not exceed the given number p .

The next generalization of these criteria may issue from observation of possible scenarios of the vehicle speeds. The family of the scenarios constitutes finite set V of possible speed vectors \mathbf{v}_q , $q = 1, \dots, m$ and each scenario may be weighted by coefficient h_q . The weights can be set proportionally to the empirical frequencies or arbitrary else to reflect the necessity to keep the accessibility condition at a sensible level. The further generalization can be obtained by optimising a linear combination of criteria, where particular criteria are weighted according to their importance.

3. Models and solving techniques for the emergency service system design problem

The mathematical programming approach to the emergency system design comes out from the assumption that the ambulance vehicles are allowed to be located only at some places from the finite set I of possible locations. The decision on placing or not placing an ambulance vehicle must be done for each candidate location $i \in I$. This decision can be modelled by the variable y_i , which takes the value 1 if a vehicle is placed at location i and it takes the value 0 otherwise. The case, in which it is possible to place more than one vehicle at one location, can be rearranged to the considered zero-one decision problem by duplication or triplification of the relevant locations.

The emergency system design problem with the criterion (1) cannot be described only by the location variables y_i , due to the fact that the individual contribution to the objective function value depends on the distance between the customer and the nearest located ambulance. To be able to describe this sort of relations, we introduce zero-one variables z_{ij} for each pair $\langle i, j \rangle$ of a possible location and a customer. Using these variables, the assignment of each customer to some ambulance location can be easily described. If we denote $c_{ij} = b_j t_{ij}(\mathbf{v})$, then the following model describes the emergency system design problem with the criterion (1).

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} c_{ij} z_{ij} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Subject to } \sum_{i \in I} z_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{for } j \in J \quad (6)$$

$$z_{ij} \leq y_i \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{i \in I} y_i \leq p \quad (8)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for } i \in I \quad (9)$$

$$z_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \text{for } i \in I, j \in J \quad (10)$$

The expression (5) corresponds to the sum of the real access times multiplied by numbers of afflicted inhabitants. The constraints (6) ensure that each dwelling place (customer) is assigned to the

exactly one of possible locations. The constraints (7) are so called binding constraints, which force the variable y_i take the value 1, whenever a customer is assigned to location i . The constraint (8) puts the limit p on the number of located vehicles.

The model (5)-(10) describes also the emergency system design problem with the criterion (2). It is sufficient to denote $c_{ij} = b_j(t_{ij}(v) - T^{max})$, if $t_{ij}(v) > T^{max}$ and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

The problems connected with criterion (3) can be modelled using a set of the auxiliary zero-one variables x_j , which express by the values 1 or 0, whether the demand of customer $j \in J$ is or is not satisfied. To be able to recognize, whether customer j is or is not accessible from location i , we introduce zero-one constant a_{ij} for each pair $(i, j) \in I \times J$. The constant a_{ij} is equal to 1 if and only if customer j can be reached from location i in the access time T^{max} , i.e. $t_{ij}(v) \leq T^{max}$. Otherwise, the constant a_{ij} is equal to 0. Then we can formulate the problem as:

$$\text{Minimize } \sum_{j \in J} b_j(1 - x_j) \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Subject to } \sum_{i \in I} a_{ij}y_i \geq x_j \quad \text{for } j \in J \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{i \in I} y_i \leq p \quad (13)$$

$$y_i \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{for } i \in I \quad (14)$$

$$x_j \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{for } j \in J \quad (15)$$

The objective function (11) gives the volume of uncovered demands. The constraints (12) ensure that the variables x_j are allowed to take the value 1, if and only if there is at least one ambulance vehicle located in the access time T^{max} from the customer location j . The constraint (13) puts the limit p on the number of located vehicles [10].

The model (11)-(15) can also model the problem with criterion (4), in which the number of double covered demands should be maximized. Nevertheless the constraints (12) must be replaced by constraints (16):

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_{ij}y_i \geq 1 + x_j \quad \text{for } j \in J \quad (16)$$

Concerning the solving technique for the problems described by the above presented models, it can be noted that all of them belong to the family of integer programming problems, more precisely zero-one integer programming problems and can be theoretically solved by any commercial solver, which contains some general integer programming algorithm, e.g. the branch and bound method, the cutting plane method or the branch and cut method. These general algorithms are able to solve to optimality real-sized covering problems, but only small instants of the allocation problems. To solve the problems with the criteria (1) or (2), we can make use a similarity between the problem (5)-(10) and the uncapacitated facility location problem [3]. The problem can be solved

by the approach reported in [4] or [9], where a Lagrangean multiplier is introduced for the constraint (8) to relax it from the set of constraints. Then the problem takes a form of the uncapacitated facility location problem. To solve it, the procedure *BBDual* [9] was designed and implemented based on the principle presented in [3], which is the branch and bound method with special methods for obtaining of the lower bound. The procedure was embedded into the dichotomy algorithm, which was used to find a fitting value of the Lagrangean multiplier.

4. Preliminary numerical experiments and criteria comparison

We performed the numerical experiments with the data originating at the Slovak road network with 2916 dwelling places, which represent aggregations of potential patients. In this study, the electronic road map of Slovak Republic was employed. The numbers of inhabitants of dwelling places were known together with other attributes of the nodes. The current proposal of the emergency medical vehicle location consists of 264 places, but 41 of them duplicate or triplicate locations at some bigger cities and they have no influence on the studied accessibility in accordance to criteria (1), (2) and (3) considering the fact that these towns are represented by one node each. Based on this reduction, the 223 points (locations) were taken into consideration as the value p in the primary problem. The sum of unallocated ambulances from the primary problem solution and the 41 multiple locations enter as value p the secondary problem. These data enable to calculate the suggested criteria for the given scenarios of the vehicle speeds connected with the individual link classes. The considered speed scenario was $v = \langle 105, 95, 75, 60, 50 \rangle$, which are assumed average speeds in kilometer per hour on highways, roads of first, second and third class and on the local roads respectively. The set of candidate locations was formed from all towns and villages with more than 300 inhabitants and present ambulance locations. This way, a set of 2284 candidate locations was obtained.

We solved all the above formulated problems for $T^{max} = 15$ minutes, whenever this limit was included into the model. In accordance to the type of criterion we employed the special algorithm *BBDual* or the general optimisation software *Xpress-MP*, if possible with respect to the size and structure of the associated model. The associated algorithms were run on a personal computer equipped with the Intel Core 2 6700 processor with parameters: 2.66 GHz and 3 GB RAM.

The results of numerical experiments are reported in Table 1 where each row corresponds to one instance of the problem, which is specified by the used criterion and problem type (p-primary or s-secondary). The row contains the objective function value (Objective) of the optimal solution, the computation time in seconds (Time [s]) and the number of located ambulances (Loc). These figures are placed in the section *BBDual* or *Xpress-MP* in accordance to the used solution technique.

It turned out that the problem with criterion (2) was insolvable due to either huge time consumption or model size by both the

approaches. That is why the optimal solution of only problems with criteria (1), (3) and (4) are reported in Table 1.

Table 1

Criterion	Type	BBDual			Xpress-MP		
		Objective	Time [s]	Loc	Objective	Time [s]	Loc
(1)	p	13771837	3436	222	-	-	-
(1)	s	44203257	3574	42	-	-	-
(3)	p				91	0.1	198
(3)	s				286180	1.4	66
(4)	p	-	-	-	11684	0.3	264

The comprehensive solutions were obtained from the primary and secondary solutions by simple addition of the zero-one resulting vectors y^p and y^s . So in the comprehensive solution, 264 ambulances are deployed. The subscript i of the nonzero allocation variable z_{ij} for criterion (1) was obtained for each j so that the equation (17) holds.

$$t_{ij}(v) = \min\{t_{kj}(v): k \in I, 1 \leq y_k^p + y_k^s\} \quad (17)$$

The value of variable x_j for criteria (3) and (4) was obtained for each j in accordance to the equation (18) or (19) respectively.

$$x_j = \min\left\{1, \sum_{i \in I} a_{ij}(y_i^p + y_i^s)\right\} \quad (18)$$

$$x_j = \max\left\{0, \min\left\{1, \sum_{i \in I} a_{ij}(y_i^p + y_i^s) - 1\right\}\right\} \quad (19)$$

This way, comprehensive solutions *BBDual(1)*, *Xpress-MP(3)* and *Xpress-MP(4)* were obtained. Then the values of criteria (1)–(4) were computed for the solutions and these results are reported in Table 2.

References

- [1] CURRENT, J., DASKIN, M., SCHILLING, D.: *Discrete Network Location Models*, Drezner, Zvi (ed.) et al. Facility location. Applications and theory, Berlin: Springer, 2002, pp. 81–118
- [2] DOERNER, K., F.; GUTJAHR, W., J.; HARTL, R., F.; KARALL, M.; REIMANN, M.: *Heuristic Solution of an Extended Double-Coverage Ambulance Location Problem for Austria*, Central European Journal of Operations Research, 4/2005, pp. 325–340
- [3] ERLINKOTTER, D.: *A Dual-Based Procedure for Uncapacitated Facility Location*, Operations Research, 6/1978, pp. 992–1009
- [4] JANACEK, J.: *Service System Design in the Public and Private Sectors*, Proc. of the International Conference “Quantitative Methods in Economics (Multiple Criteria Decision Making XII)”, June, 2004, Virt, ISBN 80-8078-012-9, pp. 101–108
- [5] JANACEK, J.: *The Medical Emergency Service System Design*, Advances in Transport Systems Telematics, ed. Mikulski, J., Katowice, 2006, pp. 443–449
- [6] JANACEK, J.: *Time Accessibility and Public Service Systems*, Proc. of the conference “Quantitative Methods in Economics”, Bratislava, December, 2006, pp. 57–63
- [7] JANACEK, J.: *Safety on Roads from View of Emergency System Design*, Journal of Information, Control and Management Systems, No 2/1, 2006, pp. 91–101
- [8] JANACEK, J.: *Evaluation Criteria for Emergency Service Stations Locations (in Czech)*, Sborník příspěvků konference Infotrans 2007, September 2007, pp. 125–130

Table 2

	Criter. (1)	Criter. (2)	Criter. (3)	Criter. (4)
BBDual(1)	13677537	35109	15613	285324
Xpress-MP(3)	23613635	182	91	188937
Xpress-MP(4)	25597594	182	91	11684
Man-made	16378985	92032	31672	431415

The row Man-made in Table 2 corresponds to the current distribution of ambulance vehicles over the area of the Slovak Republic.

6. Conclusions

We presented four models of the medical emergency system design problem which are based on a different quality criterion. These quality criteria reflect possible approaches to the original problem with a general objective formulated as: to provide the best service to all inhabitants of a considered region. As any sophisticated designing process of real service system needs methods, which are able to provide it with a concrete solution in a sensible time, we tried to assign to these particular problem formulations some solving algorithms and performed preliminary computational experiments to verify suitability of the algorithms. With exception of the second criterion, we found that instances of the particular problem types were solvable in reasonable time. Furthermore, we compared the obtained results with the current structure of the medical emergency system of the Slovak Republic. We proved that the studied approaches could considerably improve the current system in all the considered objectives.

Acknowledgment:

This work was supported by grant VEGA 1/3775/06 and 6FP project RIPCORD-ISEREST.

- [9] JANACEK, J., BUZNA L.: *Facility Location in Distribution Systems*, EDIS Zilina University Publisher, Zilina, 2007, 142 p.
- [10] JANACKOVA M., SZENDREYOVA, A.: *An Impact of Set of Centers of Distribution System design problem on computational time of solving algorithm*, *Advances in Transport Systems Telematics*, ed. Mikulski, J., Katowice, 2006, pp. 109-112
- [11] JANOSIKOVA, L.: *Optimal Location of Emergency Medical Service Stations in Term of Transportation Accessibility (in Slovak)*, *Sbornik prispevku konference Infotrans 2007*, September 2007, pp. 143-148
- [12] JANOSIKOVA, L.: *Emergency Medical Service Planning*, *Communications – Scientific Letters of the University of Zilina*, 2/2007, pp. 64-68
- [13] MARIANOV, V.; SERRA, D.: *Location Problems in the Public Sector*, Drezner, Zvi (ed.) et al. *Facility location, Applications and theory*, Berlin: Springer, 2002, pp. 119-150.