New original results are presented on relation between passenger’s whole-body vibration (WBV) and longitudinal road unevenness characterised by the International Roughness Index (IRI) in 100-m segments. Measurements were provided in nine different cars of six vehicle categories operated on about 1860 km of road network. Vibration total value based on the root mean square (RMS) of the frequency-weighted acceleration was used to quantify the ride comfort at seat surface and seat base (i.e. vehicle floor) in three orthogonal axes. The relations between passenger’s acceleration response, comfort reaction levels according to the ISO 2631-1: 1997 and the IRI road unevenness classes, used by the Slovak Road Administration, were estimated. Results indicated higher WBV by ~20% on the motorways than on the 1st and 2nd class roads in the same IRI road class. Using the same IRI road classes for motorways and the 1st and 2nd class roads seems not to be appropriate from the point of view of the whole-body vibrations.
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1. Introduction

Longitudinal road unevenness is an important parameter of Pavement Management System [1] and in Slovak Republic is characterised by the IRI index. The IRI is the most commonly used worldwide index used for evaluating and managing road systems [2-3].

This study is aimed to identify the relation between the measured WBV of a passenger in a motor vehicle and IRI based on field measurements. Such results have not been previously summarized and discussed. Field measurements that reported this relation were rare in the past and most measurements were provided only in recent years [4-15]. Comprehensive overview of published relations between the WBV and IRI was provided in [16]. Several authors reported the frequency-weighted acceleration on the seat surface on test sections with a known IRI. They used a passenger car with a dummy [7], luxury SUV [9], luxury sedan [15], multifunction vehicle [4, 11], van [13], van and ambulance [5], bus [8] and truck with a trailer [5-6]. Vehicle speed ranged from 30 to 120 km/h. Most of published results were provided in the vertical direction only or in the three orthogonal axes at the seat surface.

Limitation of published results [4-15] is in limited length and number of processed sections; using only one vehicle speed; using only one test vehicle that does not represent a vehicle fleet; only one (vertical) direction for the WBV evaluation; absence of passenger cars among tested vehicles; long time between the IRI measurement and WBV measurement.

For the road network administration and maintenance, ride safety and vehicle R&D purposes, is important to have an idea about induced WBV and comfort level for a particular IRI value, road category and vehicle speed.

The objectives of the work were as follows:

• Provide in-situ measurements of the WBV on the passenger’s seat surface and seat base for different vehicle types, road categories and speeds;
• Synchronize measured longitudinal road unevenness data with the WBV measurements;
• Estimate the relation between the IRI, WBV and vehicle speed;
• Compare the IRI road classes used in the Slovak republic and induced WBV in a vehicle;
• Estimate the influence of road category, road unevenness class and vehicle speed on results.

Presented results are novel in the following:

• IRI road classes were not compared to induced vibrations in a vehicle;
• Processing much wider length of road network and range of vehicle speeds;
• Considering nine different passenger cars of six vehicle categories;
• WBV results are evaluated as a function of the IRI road classes, road category and vehicle speed;
• Vehicle speed is considered as a parameter of a regression function;
• Considering six acceleration signals at the seat surface and seat base in three orthogonal axes;
• Short time elapsed between the road roughness and WBV measurements.
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2. International roughness index

The IRI is essentially a computer-based virtual response-type system based on the response of a mathematical quarter-car vehicle model to a road profile. The IRI is based on simulation of the roughness response of a car travelling at 80 km/h - it is the Reference Average Rectified Slope, which expresses a ratio of the accumulated suspension vertical motion of a vehicle, divided by the distance travelled during the test.

The IRI is a numeric that summarizes roughness qualities impacting vehicle response. The IRI is a dimensionless measure with units (mm/m), (m/km) or (in/m). The algorithm was proposed by Sayers [17] and is also implemented in prEN 13036-5: 2017 [18] or ASTM E1926-08: 2015 [19]. The IRI is an indicator of longitudinal unevenness often used in Pavement Management Systems around the world [2-3] and in the Slovak Republic [20-26].

The IRI specifications around the world used one, two or more longitudinal profiles at specified distance from the right edge or the centre line of road lane [2]. In Slovakia, thirteen road profiles are processed to calculate the representative IRI value for the segment of road lane [2, 20, 27].

3. Whole-body vibration

ISO 2631-1 [28-29] defines methods for measurement of periodic, random and transient whole-body vibrations. For the comfort of seated persons this clause applies to a frequency range 0.5 to 80 Hz, which occurs in all six axes on the seat pan. Filter $W_k$ specified in ISO 2631-1 is used for frequency weighting in the $z$-direction and all three orthogonal axes on the seat base. Filter $W_k$ is used for the $x$- and $y$-directions at the seat surface.

The WBV was quantified with the vibration total value $a_v$, calculated from six measured signals on the seat surface and seat base (i.e., floor), a GPS sensor and two MEMS three-axial accelerometers CXLO4LP3 [32] at passenger’s seat surface and seat base (i.e., floor), a GPS sensor - GPS Garmin 18x - 5 Hz [33-34], data acquisition unit DT 9816 [35], acquisition software and a laptop. Figure 1 shows a scheme of an in-situ WBV measurement and evaluation in a test vehicle.

4. Road profile data

Longitudinal road profiles were measured in Slovakia in 2017 by Profilograph GE measuring device. Profile measurements were provided by Road databank of Slovak Road Administration. Profilograph GE records of sixteen parallel profiles (Figure 1). Laser sensor No. 16 typically records the vertical displacement of the thirteen longitudinal profiles at the right edge line of the road lane. Laser sensors No. 2-14 are used that record vertical displacement of the longitudinal profile at the right edge line of the road lane. Laser interval, which is a longitudinal distance between data capture points, was 52.91 mm.

Technical specifications of inertial profilograph are presented in [20]. The Profilograph GE consists of: host vehicle - VW multivan, measuring beam equipped by 16 height sensors (single-spot laser sensors LMI SELCOM 5000), odometer, GPS sensors, operating unit, computer, and software Proliographe for Windows®. The Profilograph GE meets the requirements of an ASTM E950 Class 1 [36] profiling device.

Longitudinal road unevenness evaluation in Slovakia (Table 2) is based on thirteen parallel profiles [20] measured by the Profilograph GE. Maximum IRI of those profiles is used as a representative value in a segment of specified length (20 m or 100 m).

### Table 1 Expected comfort reactions to vibration environments according to the ISO 2631-1 1997 [28]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vibration total value $a_v$ (m/s²)</th>
<th>Comfort level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.315</td>
<td>not uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.315-0.63</td>
<td>a little uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5-1.0</td>
<td>fairly uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8-1.6</td>
<td>uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25-2.5</td>
<td>very uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
<td>extremely uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 IRI (mm/m) road unevenness classification in a 20m segment in Slovakia [20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road class</th>
<th>Motorways and expressways</th>
<th>1st and 2nd class roads</th>
<th>3rd class roads and local highways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (very good)</td>
<td>&lt; 1.90</td>
<td>&lt; 1.90</td>
<td>&lt; 3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (good)</td>
<td>1.91-3.30</td>
<td>1.91-3.30</td>
<td>3.31-5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (fair)</td>
<td>3.31-5.00</td>
<td>3.31-5.00</td>
<td>5.01-8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (poor)</td>
<td>5.01-8.00</td>
<td>5.01-10.00</td>
<td>8.01-14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (very poor)</td>
<td>&gt; 8.00</td>
<td>&gt; 10.00</td>
<td>&gt; 14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $a_{vxx}$, $a_{vyy}$, $a_{vzz}$ (m/s²) - the weighted RMS accelerations with respect to the orthogonal axes $(x, y, z)$ at the seat surface, respectively. $a_{vxx}$, $a_{vyy}$, $a_{vzz}$ (m/s²) - the weighted RMS accelerations at the seat base, $k_{xx}$, $k_{yy}$, $k_{zz}$, $k_{xy}$, $k_{xz}$, $k_{yz}$, multiplying factors, where $k_{xx} = k_{yy} = k_{zz} = 1$, $k_{xy} = k_{xz} = 0.25$, and $k_{yz} = 0.4$ for comfort evaluation.
Figure 1 Scheme of the whole-body vibration measurement in a test vehicle
The total length of measured sections was about 1860 km and after elimination of section that does not fulfill the abovementioned conditions ~1400 km was used for analysis. Based on processing of measured data, a three-parameter relation between the IRI, $a_v$ and $v$ was identified as the most appropriate, which is expressed as follows:

$$\text{IRI} = b_1 a_v + b_2 v + b_3, \quad (2)$$

where $b_1$, $b_2$, and $b_3$ are constants of a fitting function. Table 3 shows identified fitting function parameters (Equation 2) for the processed road categories. The lower correlation was expected between IRI and $a_v$ in Table 3. Representative value of the IRI in each 100-m segment is based on the maximum IRI value of thirteen measured profiles. This profile may be different from current wheel paths of a test vehicle. Measurements were provided in nine different test vehicles of different vehicle categories and different vibrations response characteristics. Figure 2 shows measured data of relation of IRI and $a_v$ and $v$, fitted by the regression function (Equation 2) for all the tested vehicles and the two road categories: motorways (Figure 2(a)) and the 1st and 2nd class roads (Figure 2(b)). Parameters of fitting function used in Figure 2 are presented in Table 3. Equation 2 may serve for a basic estimation of IRI values as a function of a passenger’s vibrations and speed.

### Table 3: Fitting parameters of function $\text{IRI} = f(a_v, v)$ [Equation (2)] as a function of road category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>$b_1$</th>
<th>$b_2$</th>
<th>$b_3$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motorways</td>
<td>3808</td>
<td>380.8</td>
<td>1.859</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st class roads</td>
<td>4684</td>
<td>468.4</td>
<td>5.145</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>3.058</td>
<td>1.1039</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd class roads</td>
<td>5462</td>
<td>546.2</td>
<td>4.472</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>4.651</td>
<td>1.4526</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st and 2nd class roads</td>
<td>10146</td>
<td>1014.6</td>
<td>5.696</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>3.866</td>
<td>1.4027</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Test vehicles survey

Nine test vehicles that are representatives of the best-selling cars in Slovakia in recent years and typical representatives of current vehicle fleet were used: Skoda Fabia II, Skoda Octavia, Skoda Rapid, Skoda Citigo, Skoda Yeti, Skoda Superb, Hyundai i40 combi, Volkswagen Golf Plus, and Ford Transit.

Six different vehicle categories were considered: small and large family car, limousine, city car, sport utility vehicle (SUV) and a cargo van. Vehicles were produced between years 2005 and 2017 and actual vehicle mileage was from 1 600 km to 120 000 km. An average time elapsed between the profile measurement and WBV measurement was about 4 months. All measurements were conducted on the same passenger with the weight of 85 kg. Two different test drivers of weight 75 kg and 90 kg conducted the tests.

6. Whole-body vibration and IRI road classes

The IRI data were evaluated by Profilograph GE [20] in sixteen parallel longitudinal profiles and sampled at report interval of 100 m and stored with corresponding geographic coordinates - latitude and longitude data (Figure 1).

The GPS coordinates of the WBV response in a vehicle were synchronized with the GPS data of profile measurements. Processed data should fulfill following conditions for partial processed interval of 100 m:

- Coefficient of variation of a vehicle speed is lower than 10 %;
- Difference in identified start points and final points of a 100-m segment between the WBV and profile measurements is lower than 5 % of the segment length (i.e., 5 m).

The total length of measured sections was about 1860 km and after elimination of section that does not fulfill the abovementioned conditions ~1400 km was used for analysis.

Based on processing of measured data, a three-parameter relation between the IRI, $a_v$ and $v$ was identified as the most appropriate, which is expressed as follows:

$$\text{IRI} = b_1 a_v + b_2 v + b_3, \quad (2)$$

where $b_1$, $b_2$, and $b_3$ are constants of a fitting function. Table 3 shows identified fitting function parameters (Equation 2) for the processed road categories. The lower correlation was expected between IRI and $a_v$ in Table 3. Representative value of the IRI in each 100-m segment is based on the maximum IRI value of thirteen measured profiles. This profile may be different from current wheel paths of a test vehicle. Measurements were provided in nine different test vehicles of different vehicle categories and different vibrations response characteristics. Figure 2 shows measured data of relation of IRI and $a_v$ and $v$, fitted by the regression function (Equation 2) for all the tested vehicles and the two road categories: motorways (Figure 2(a)) and the 1st and 2nd class roads (Figure 2(b)). Parameters of fitting function used in Figure 2 are presented in Table 3. Equation 2 may serve for a basic estimation of IRI values as a function of a passenger’s vibrations and speed.

Table 4 shows statistics (mean, standard deviation, percentiles P10, P25, P50, P75, and P90) of total acceleration $a_v$ as a function of IRI road classes for all the nine tested vehicles and all tested sections. Processed test sections on motorways covered only first three IRI road classes used in the Slovak Republic.

Table 4 shows statistics (mean, standard deviation, percentiles P10, P25, P50, P75, and P90) of total acceleration $a_v$ as a function of IRI road classes for all the nine tested vehicles and all tested sections. Processed test sections on motorways covered only first three IRI road classes used in the Slovak Republic.

Median $a_v$ value (P50) exceeds the lower bound of expected “uncomfortable” human reaction ($a_v = 0.8$ m/s², Table 1) for all three IRI road classes (#1 - #3) and motorways and three IRI road class.
classes (#3 - #5) for the 1st and 2nd class roads (Table 4). For IRI road class #3 and motorways and IRI road class #5 and the 1st and 2nd class roads a lower bound of “very uncomfortable” level \(a_v = 1.25 \text{ m/s}^2\) was exceeded by the 90th percentile (P90) of \(a_v\) (Table 4).

The median values of \(a_v\) are shown in Figure 3. Comparison shows higher vibration total value \(a_v\) by 17-22 % for motorways in the same IRI road class. Median values of \(a_v\) correspond for a “very good” IRI road class #1 of motorways approximately to “fair” IRI road class #3 of the 1st and 2nd class roads. The reason may be in much higher typical speeds on motorways and in behaviour of nonlinear characteristics of vehicle suspension elements.

Table 5 and Figure 4 present the percentage of travelled sections in particular comfort levels according to the ISO 2631-1 as a function of the IRI road class and road category. Number of sections, total length of sections and the IRI statistics is the same as in Table 4. Sum of percentage in all six comfort level groups
Such a choice of the IRI thresholds should meet the same excited suspension relative velocity response for the two different velocities. It should be stated that relation (3) is valid for Gaussian road profiles and vehicle model with linear suspension characteristics. For example, for two speed limits, \(v_1 = 90 \text{ km/h}\) (1st and 2nd class roads) and \(v_2 = 130 \text{ km/h}\) (motorways) it should be hold, \(\text{IRI}(130 \text{ km/h}) = 0.83 \times \text{IRI}(90 \text{ km/h})\). Thresholds for the critical road state (IRI road class #5) partially fulfill this assumption. Using Equation (3) to compare IRI thresholds, the correction factor in relation \(\text{IRI}(130) = f(\text{IRI}(90))\) would be lower at about 0.35-0.5. Used IRI road classifications around the world used sometimes higher IRI thresholds for partial IRI road classes for roads of lower limit speeds [2].

7. Conclusions

A comprehensive overview was provided of the relations between the passenger WBV in a motor vehicle and IRI based on extensive field measurements of a total length of about 1800 km. A summary on this matter has not been previously published in such a wide range.

The novelty of presented results is in estimation of vehicle speed, road category and IRI road class influence on the passenger ride comfort.

The main results of this study were as follows:
1. Parameters of relation between the IRI, passenger vibration total value and vehicle speed were identified as a function of road category. Fitting of measured data suggests that a three-parameter relation is a suitable approximation of this relation.
2. Results specified which combinations of the IRI road class, used in the Slovak Republic, road category and vehicle speed, correspond to particular comfort levels according to the ISO 2631-1.
3. Indication of comfort levels “not uncomfortable” and “extremely uncomfortable” was very rare. Comfort level “fairly uncomfortable” and “uncomfortable” prevailed. About fifty percent of all the processed sections exceeded a lower bound of expected “uncomfortable” reaction (\(a_v = 0.8 \text{ m/s}^2\)). About 4% of processed sections of

is over 100% due to overlapped ranges of particular comfort reaction levels groups (Table 1).

On motorways, 55.6% of processed segments induced passenger’s vibrations higher than the lower bound of expected “uncomfortable” human reaction (0.8 m/s²) and 0.34% segments exceeded the lower bound of “very uncomfortable” level. On the 1st and 2nd class roads it was 51.4% (“uncomfortable”) and 4% (“very uncomfortable”).

Results in Table 5 may be commented as follows:
1. Negligible portion of sections falls in the best and worst comfort likely reaction levels “not uncomfortable” and “extremely uncomfortable”.
2. Comfort levels “fairly uncomfortable” and “uncomfortable” prevailed.
3. Higher percentage (by 12-38%) of road segments in the same IRI road class corresponds to “fairly uncomfortable” and “uncomfortable” levels for motorways than for the 1st and 2nd class roads. The comparison is influenced with an unequal number of segments \(N\) in groups and higher mean speed by 30 to 40 km/h on motorways on the section of the same road class.
4. Road class #1 for motorways and road class #3 for the 1st and 2nd class roads indicate similar percentage of acceleration response in “uncomfortable” category (50.9% vs. 55.4%).
5. Road class #3 (“fair”) for motorways and road class #5 (“very poor”) for the 1st and 2nd class roads indicate similar percentage of acceleration response in “uncomfortable” category (67.7% vs. 68.4%).

Results in Tables 4 and 5 suggested that IRI threshold values of particular IRI road classes (Table 1) should be a function of the road speed limit or typical travelled speed of a road category. IRI is proportional to the RMS value of suspension relative velocity [37]. The same level of vibrations response can be achieved for two distinct vehicle speeds with consideration of the same RMS value of suspension relative velocity, \(\sigma_{v_r}(v_1) = \sigma_{v_r}(v_2)\). Then, the relation for the IRI threshold values should be a function of velocity as follows [38]

\[
\text{IRI}(v_1) = \left( \frac{v_1}{v_2} \right)^{0.5} \text{IRI}(v_2).
\]

\[ \text{IRI}(v_1) = \left( \frac{v_1}{v_2} \right)^{0.5} \text{IRI}(v_2). \]
the 1st and 2nd road class exceeded a “very uncomfortable” level.

4. Substantially different passenger’s WBV was identified for the same IRI road classes between motorways and the 1st and 2nd class roads. For the same IRI road class, the total passenger vibration was about 20% higher on motorways. Passenger’s WBV for the 4th road class of the 1st and 2nd class roads corresponds approximately to the (i+2)th road class of motorways.

5. Experimentally obtained data suggested that the IRI thresholds for partial road classes for motorways should be lower than for the 1st and 2nd class roads to meet approximately the same ride comfort.
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