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Resume
The transport process is characterized by transport operations and the relationships between them, the route, the time of transport and the type of product transported. One of these parameters is the degree of risk associated with a possible disruption of the transport process. Therefore, this article aims to present the possibility of calculating the degree of risk on the selected transport route. The risk level is calculated on based on the actual risk incidents on the transport route.

1 Introduction

The transport and logistics industry provide one of the most important services of the modern globalized and interconnected world. Since the beginning of 2020, more and more countries around the world have closed their borders and restricted traffic and travel in order to prevent the outbreak of coronaviruses (COVID-19) pandemic, thus creating barriers to international trade and transport. The pandemic affects almost every dimension of economic activity and individuals around the world. Due to the outbreak of coronavirus, the supply chains are limited in the logistics and transport industries, although they are different in the air, freight and maritime sectors. Nevertheless, the risk incidents occur that negatively affect the transport processes.

1.1 Global cargo crime trends

According to new research, the insurance company has the largest cargo theft in the world in the field of freight transport, whether it is a criminal activity during the transport or in a parking lot. In the following Figure 1 one can see a comparison of the total criminal activity in transport for selected years in the EMEA region. Most crimes occurred in Germany, France and the United Kingdom.

If one looks at the type of criminal activity, shown in Figure 2, one can notice that the most common criminal activities are theft from vehicle, theft of vehicle, theft of container or robbery. This is followed by theft from facility, fraudulent pick up, hijacking, etc.

There are different ways of parking on roads in Europe, in compliance with the Regulation 561/2006 or the AETR agreement (required rest of professional drivers), [2]. Some use regular public car parks, others park on the side of roads, while those more responsible rely on security car park services. The biggest problem is parking in unsecured parking lots. One can see in Figure 3 the number of attacks at unsecured parking places. Drivers use unsecured parking areas because there are few safe parking lots around the world. Their number in this period is 7500, which is absolutely insufficient with the growing number of road freight transport.
1.2 Risk assessment matrix

The purpose of the risk assessment is to analyse and evaluate the identified risks to determine whether they need to be treated. Diagrams or matrices can be used to illustrate risks (See Table 1). This matrix is an example in which risks are assigned priority classes

- theft - general term for wrongful taking of property without the owner’s willful consent,
- impact: loss of cargo, monetary loss, customer dissatisfaction,
- measures: insurance, training, safety parking spot, security equipment, trailer door monitoring,
- fraud - theft by deception; offense of deliberately deceiving another in order to damage them - usually, to obtain property or services from the victim unjustly,
- impact: loss of cargo, monetary loss, customer dissatisfaction,
- measures: insurance, training, verification of documents, verification of shipper [4-7].

Figure 1 Number of crimes in transport in EMEA region [1]

Figure 2 Theft in transport by incident category [1]

Figure 3 The number of attacks at unsecured parking places [3]
with the factor of Criticality, an organization can find out how urgent a certain risk is and then deal with it, according to the priority it assigns to that risk [8-10].

1.3 Risk assessment for transport route

For effective route planning and scheduling, it is necessary for the employees of the transport department to participate in the preparation of the distribution plan, or at least to know and understand it. The vehicle routing and planning process must meet the following objectives:

- maximizing the payload of a vehicle (by maximizing vehicle fill out and back) and maximizing vehicle utilization (by maximizing the number of loaded journeys per vehicle);
- minimizing distance (e.g., minimizing overlapping deliveries) and minimizing time (e.g., minimizing motionless time); and
- meeting the customer requirements in terms of costs, services and time and meeting the legal requirements in terms of vehicle capacity and driver hours [1, 11-13].

If the dispatcher wants to plan a safe transport for the driver, he must consider:

- date and place of loading,
- date and place of unloading,
- type of road infrastructure (highways, urban and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Risk assessment matrix [7]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>risk category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>likelyhood / frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unlikely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Matrix of losses and frequency [7]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>losses / frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>losses / frequency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequent &gt; 4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likely 3.5 &lt; li &lt; 4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occasional 2.25 &lt; li &lt; 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remote 1 &lt; li &lt; 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unlikely &lt; 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The road infrastructure is important due to the different speed limits and capabilities in place. If it is possible, for the highly valued transportations highways are preferred.

As mentioned above, during the transport, the driver is exposed to several types of risks [7]:

- theft,
- robbery,
- hijacking,
- fraud, etc.

One needs to make a category table of risk:

**Incident type:**
- Category I - hijacking, robbery, fraud
- Category II - vehicle theft
- Category III - theft from vehicle
- Category IV - other theft (theft of container, theft from facility, ...)

**Modus operandi:**
- Category I - forced stop, violence
- Category II - deceptive stop
- Category III - intrusion
- Category IV - deception

**Average monetary loss (€):**
- Category I - Loss > 100 001 €
- Category II - 25 001 € < Loss < 100 000 €
- Category III - 1001 € < Loss < 25 000 €
- Category IV - Loss < 1 000 €

**Likelihood Index:**

This index is calculated based on the following formula:
Modus operandi:
• deception,
• deceptive stop,
• forced stop,
• fraud,
• internal,
• intrusion,
• pilferage,
• violent [9, 15].

In Figures 4 and 5 one can see the IIS heatmap tool, which shows the intensity and type of the cargo crimes in the UK and the Netherlands in the month of February 2020.

The following example shows how the risk of criminal activity could be reduced by planning a transport route [16]. Two routes from Catania to Amsterdam (see Figures 6 and 7) were chosen. The characteristics of the individual routes are given below.

The data needed for calculation were taken from the IIS Database provided by TAPA. All incidents are reported by the TAPA EMEA members only. For the public, data from the database are provided only for the last 90 days. The complete database is only for members who pay for membership every year. The

\[
\text{likelihood index} = \frac{\text{index/years}}{\text{km/100}} = \frac{\text{index} \times 100}{\text{km} \times \text{years}},
\]

where:
km - the length of the route in km,
incidents - the number of incidents across the route,
years - the time period that includes the incidents [7].

**1.4 Route planning**

If the carrier wants to plan the transport with regard to safety, he must know the applications that will help him in this. One of them is the TAPA IIS database focused on the safe truck parking places. In addition to information about safety truck parking places, the IIS database also provide information about security incidents classified by several categories, including:
• burglary,
• fraud,
• hijacking,
• robbery,
• theft (theft from vehicle, theft from facility, theft of vehicle, truck theft) [1, 14].

**Figure 6** The first transport route from Catania to Amsterdam [3]

**Figure 7** The second transport route from Catania to Amsterdam [3]
Likely.

**Assessment Methodology:**

*Average money loss:* 156 000 € from incident reporting money loss.  
*Category I*

Risk acceptance category: **Not acceptable**  
*Incident type:* 49% of incidents reporting Incidents type are recorded as Theft from vehicle  
*Category III*

Risk Acceptable category: **Not desirable**  
*Modus operandi:* 53% of incidents reporting a modus operandi are recorded as Intrusion.  
*Category III*

Risk Acceptable category: **Not desirable**  
According to the above, route 2 is ranked at 2 for money loss, and 9 for incident type and modus operandi.

### 3 Conclusions

After comparing the two routes, one could come to the following conclusions:  
*Route 1:*

Risk index: 10  
Through:  
- Italy, Switzerland, Germany, Netherlands  
Countries crossed: 4  
Distance: 2416 km  
Estimated total duration: 66 hours  
Short breaks: 45 min - 4  
Long breaks: > 45 min - 3  
Number of incident: 92  
Likelihood index: 7.616  
According to the likelihood index, this risk is classified as Frequent.  
*Assessment Methodology:*

*Average money loss:* 68 000 € from incident reporting money loss.  
*Category II*

Risk acceptance category: **Not acceptable**  
*Incident type:* 64% of incidents reporting Incidents type are recorded as Theft from vehicle  
*Category III*

Risk Acceptable category: **Not desirable**  
*Modus operandi:* 68% of incidents reporting a modus operandi are recorded as Deception.  
*Category IV*

Risk Acceptable category: **Acceptable with extra control**  
According to the above, route 1 is ranked at 3 for money loss, 7 for incident type and 13 for modus operandi.  
*Route 2:*

Risk index: 8  
Through:  
- Italy, Switzerland, France, Luxemburg, Belgium, Netherlands  
Countries crossed: 6  
Distance: 2445 km  
Estimated total duration: 67.75 hours  
Short breaks: 45 min - 5  
Long breaks: > 45 min - 3  
Number of incident: 54  
Likelihood index: 4.744  
According to likelihood index, this risk is classified as Likely.

### Acknowledgement

This paper was developed with support of a project: MSVVS SR - VEGA No. 1/0245/20 Poliak, M.: Identification of the impact of a change in transport related legislation on the competitiveness of carriers and carriage safety.
References


