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Resume
This work experimentally investigates the most imperative parameters that control the injection and combustion processes in a multi-injection HCCI Diesel engine namely; fuel-line pressure, timing of multi-injection events and the resulting in-cylinder pressure. These parameters in addition to the heat release rates are evaluated at different engine loads and speeds over up to 42 successive cycles. The main inter-relations of these parameters are also discussed.

Results revealed that the maximum cylinder pressure and temperature in the HCCI engines are lower by 7% compared to similar conventional ones, which could lead to lower NOx emission. In addition, the fuel line pressure exhibits nearly the same major frequency of the waves, 850 to 950 Hz. Those results could be very useful for engine assessment, modeling, control, NOx reduction and power saving.

1 Introduction

Recently, an alternative combustion technology that has the potential to decrease emissions and fuel consumption has emerged. This newly introduced technology is known as Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition (HCCI). The main concept of the HCCI is to combine the high compression ratio and efficiency of the diesel engine with the tailpipe emission levels of spark-ignition engines since the admitted charge is a lean mixture prepared either externally or internally [1-2]. However, controlling the HCCI auto-ignition timing and rate of the heat release, at all operating regimes, presents the biggest challenge due to the complicated and highly coupled combustion problems [3]. Tackling these challenges in the HCCI diesel engines becomes possible after the successful implementation of the high-pressure common rail (CR) injection system and fast response electro-hydraulic injectors, which are capable to optimize the injection parameters, at high fuel pressure, provide the high precision of the injected fuel volume and realize multi injections in a very short duration [4-5].

Auto-ignition of the HCCI combustion is fully controlled by Chemical kinetics. It is directly affected by engine operating conditions (load, speed, etc.), boundary conditions (environmental temperature, pressure and humidity), and the chemical composition of used fuel (ratio and thermodynamic state of the fuel-air mixture) [6-8]. So, fuel-line pressure, the timing of multi-injection events and the resulting in-cylinder pressure data, over the entire operating regimes, are of a great importance for the assessment of the engine combustion process, which is the foundation of simultaneous engine performance enhancement with lower emission levels. These data are also very beneficial for developing and verifying mathematical models for the injection system and combustion process. Thus, intensive experimental analysis of engine performance parameters is mandatory.

In fuel lines, the pressure fluctuations at the injector inlets, due to injector nozzle opening and closing, are intrinsic difficulties in common rail systems [9-11]. These fluctuations produced by an initial injection may still be present when the injector is reopened in multiple injection strategies. This gives an unquantified effect on the amount of fuel injected, spray atomization, penetration and mixing of the injected fuel with air. These pressure variations also affect the injector function and modify the needle lift level [12]. Different mechanisms for fluctuating fuel pressure and deviating fuel mass were historically studied. High-pressure fuel pipe sizes and relative dimensions have a significant influence on
fluctuation of the fuel pressure [13-14]. Results showed that amplitude reduction and frequency increase of the pressure wave can be attained by decreasing the length and increasing the inner diameter of the injector high-pressure supply pipe. The effect of the fuel properties on the pressure fluctuation of a common rail fuel line was investigated in [15]. Henein et al. [16] studied the effect of pressure fluctuation on the injector needle left and subsequently the fuel-injected quantity. The effects of common rail pressure and injection pulse width were studied in [17-18]. Some investigations were conducted to assess the mechanisms by which the amplitude of multi-injection fuel mass deviation can be significantly reduced [19-20]. The impact of the pilot pressure parameters on the fuel line pressure pulsation was studied by [21-23].

In multi-injection events, the number of injections, Start of Injection (SOI), Energizing Time (ET), Dwell Time (DT) and the rail pressure are the most important parameters related to the engine injection system and greatly affect the combustion phasing and efficiency, emissions and performance characteristics of HCCI engines [24-25]. These are also very important input parameters for injection systems and combustion process modeling, assessment and control [26]. The electric current signal profile controlling the operation of the injector is a signature but not the same as the injection rate profile. There is a time delay between the start of the controlling electric signal and the start of the injection [26], as well as a time delay between the start of injection and the start of combustion (SOC). Electronically controlled fuel injection system is capable of changing the common rail pressure, the injection pressure and allows for flexible injection timings with accurate control of the injection quantity and pattern as a function of the engine speed and torque [27]. Thus, the engine injection system should be capable of managing multiple injection strategies in order to accomplish painstaking fuel-air mixing and evade wall wetting [28-29]. Wanhua et al. [30] found that, for early injections, short pulse widths should be used to provide enhanced evaporation and mixing rate, while for late injections, short DTs result in more homogeneous fuel to air mixture. The injected fuel quantity ratio among pulses and the number of injection pulses were defined as critical aspects in the HCCI injection operation [31]. The dynamic response of the fuel injection system was investigated in the case of multiple injections for different values of energizing time (ET) nominal rail pressure and dwell time, (DT) [32]. It is, therefore, necessary for the HCCI engine assessment, modeling and control, to investigate SOI, EI, DT and their relationship with in-cylinder and fuel line pressure at different deriving modes, i.e. different speeds and loads.

In-cylinder pressure recording can be considered as the most reliable method of obtaining information about the ICE combustion processes such as load (IMEP), heat release (HR) characteristics and ringing. Distinguishing the early stages of HR, the timing of the main HR and maximum heat release rate (HRR) are crucial for achieving any control scheme for the HCCI combustion engine. The literature includes a few performed works specifically on the HR performance in the HCCI engines at different design and operational engine parameters. The effect of different locations and voltage supplied of controlled glow plug on HRR of HCCI engine was studied by [33]. The effect of combustion phasing, intake temperature, equivalence ratio and load, on the combustion performance of HCCI engines have been studied by Lawler in [34]. Ebrahimi et al. [35] carried out experimental research on the effect of two engine speeds (600 and 900 rpm) for different equivalence ratios (0.1 to 0.38) on HCCI engine combustion parameters derived from the AHRR gained during a cycle from the first thermodynamics principle.

The previous literature survey exhibits obvious gaps that should be covered in order to reveal the ambiguity and assists in deep understanding. None of the previous works introduced coincident comprehensive measurement and analysis for the fuel line pressure, injector activation signal, in-cylinder pressure and AHHR in addition to their mutual effects for the same engine at different engine speeds and loads over the entire operating range of the engine.

This paper addresses the most imperative parameters that control combustion in an HCCI diesel engine. The objective of this paper is to experimentally analyze fuel line pressure data (average value and frequency) and in-cylinder pressure (maximum value, history and AHRR). These analyses, with the aid of measuring the injector activation signals, could be very useful for developing injection system and combustion models, engine performance assessment and control, which lead to power saving and emission reduction.

2 The test facility

A 4-stroke, 4-cylinder, 2.776 liter water-cooled compression ignition engine with overhead valve mechanism was used. The main engine design parameters are listed in Table 1. The engine is turbocharged and the compressed air is cooled before entering the intake manifold. The fresh charge is internally prepared by injecting fuel twice, the first just before and the second just after the Top Dead Center (TDC) before the suction stroke. This early injection, using the same high-pressure CR injection system, allows for fuel heating and distribution, which gives more time for fuel vaporization and mixing with air [36]. Combustion is triggered by a pilot injection, just before the Top Dead Center (TDC), followed by a secondary (main) injection, typically starting at the TDC. In-cylinder pressure, fuel line pressure and injector triggering signals are measured at different speeds and loads using the test bench schematically shown in Figure 1.
2.1 Cylinder pressure measurement

A water-cooled piezoelectric transducer, (type PCB model no. 112B11) with range (3: 20685 kPa), resolution (0.069 kPa), sensitivity (0.145 pC/kPa) and resonant frequency (≥ 200 kHz) is used for measuring cylinder pressure. The used charge amplifier is a PCB type, with the capability of statically holding the output charge for calibration processes. Errors due to output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Engine specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cylinders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel primary pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Pressure Fuel Pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main measured parameters are marked in Figure 1 and listed in Table 2.

Engine external loading is carried out by an ELZE/Heenan water dynamometer (Type AN5F), with maximum braking power of 170 kW at 4000 rpm. The S-type load cell (strain gauge) is inserted under the dynamometer torque reaction arm. The load cell output signal is amplified and fed to the data acquisition interface.

Figure 1 Schematic of the engine test facility, measured parameter numbers and locations
drift, during dynamic operation, are minimized by keeping all electric connections spotlessly clean, cables shielding and limiting the test duration. The transducer is mounted on the cylinder head above cylinder no. 1 where suitable and enough space could be found.

2.2 Fuel line pressure sensor

A piezoelectric transducer type (Kistler PN 6278) and charge amplifier (Kistler SN 284625) are used to measure the fuel line pressure. This setup is capable of measuring pressure up to 3000 bars. The transducer, amplifier and cabling were calibrated together using a deadweight tester.

2.3 Injector trigger signals

A dual-channel GwIntek oscilloscope GO-635, 35 MH is used to display the injector triggering signals for confirmation. The signal has been acquired digitally for recording and further analysis using a 12-bit analog to digital National Instruments data acquisition card (PCI-MIO-16E-1).

2.4 Crank-angle and engine speed

An incremental digital quadrature encoder (type WDG 58B-360-ABN-G24-K3) is used for engine speed measurement. The encoder gives 2 trains of pulses (A and B), each has 360 pulses per encoder shaft revolution (360° Crank angle CA). The two trains are phased by ¼ pulse and a third index train (N) with one pulse per each revolution is also produced. The encoder output pulses are fed to the data acquisition interface, where pulses A and B are used as the timer sampling events. The index pulse (N) is used to synchronize the start of measurement with the TDC of cylinder 1. The frequency of output pulses A or B is measured and used to calculate the engine speed with the utmost accuracy.

2.5 Data acquisition system

The data acquisition system is a PC-based card and a personal computer (PC) with the appropriate software. The card is used to collect the signal (analog or digital) from the measuring instruments through a BNC panel. Measurements are then converted to digital data and recorded by the PC under software control. The BNC connector is National Instrument panel BNC-2120. Analog and digital inputs are connected to the BNC panel, which relays all signals to the data acquisition card through a special 68-pin cable.

A National Instruments data acquisition card (PCI-MIO-16E-1) is used. This is a 12-bit, 16 analog input channels, 16 digital I/O ports and two 24-bit general-purpose timers/counters. This card is capable of reading signals at a total frequency of 1.25 Mega samples per second, which is more than adequate for engine measurement purposes.

The computer data acquisition software has been developed in Visual Basic to automate the measuring, recording and processing of measurements. The software is adjusted to acquire up to 8 differential signals with different resolutions, offset and calibration constants. Fast-changing parameters, such as in-cylinder and fuel line pressures, are measured at high frequency (at least every one degree of crank angle rotation). Other slow-changing parameters (engine speed and load) are measured at regular intervals, typically 0.1 sec.

3 Results and analysis

Fast-changing parameters, such as in-cylinder and fuel line pressures, are averaged at each crank angle over several successive engine cycles. The coefficient of variance (COV) for the considered successive cycles (typically from 10 to 42) is then evaluated in an ascending way until a steady value is obtained. Data analyses show that the COV becomes steady after 20 cycles within ±2% for in-cylinder pressure and ±3% for fuel line pressure, which are comparable to [37-39]. This confirms the validity of the acquired data and its suitability for further calculations.

The computation of the uncertainty for measuring instruments is carried out according to [40]

$$\Delta x_i = \left( \frac{2\sigma}{X_i} \right), \quad (1)$$

where, $\Delta x_i$ = uncertainty, $\sigma$ = standard deviation, $X_i$ = mean value.

The uncertainty calculations for every measuring device are shown in Table 2.

Figure 2 shows an example of the changes in the measured parameters with crank angle during a complete cycle at constant engine speed and load.

3.1 Fuel line pressure

The effect of engine speed and load on the amplitude of fuel line pressure wave was studied by the authors [41]. The mean value of common rail pressure is the result of the high-pressure fuel pump characteristics and the flow requirements of the injection system (piping characteristics) at any engine speed for different pressure control valve energizing times (ET). Each piping characteristic affects the overall amount of fuel expelled by the four electro-injectors depending on the common rail pressure and the injector energizing duration [42]. The mean value of the pressure is found to
In Figure 3, the average value of fuel line pressure increased from 1408 bar at 50 N.m brake load to 1584 bar at 150 N.m then increased with engine speed and marginally with load, as shown in Figure 3. Typically, at 3000 rpm, the average value of fuel line pressure increased from 1408 bar at 50 N.m brake load to 1584 bar at 150 N.m then increased.
to 1610 bar at 200 N.m. For engine load of 200 N.m, the average value is found to increase from 750 bar at 1000 rpm to 1150 bar at 2000 rpm to 1610 bar at 3000 rpm.

The repeated pattern of FLP variation at different operating conditions suggests that the pressure waves traveling along the fuel line have almost similar characteristics. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the FLP is carried out and presented in Figure 4. It is found that in all cases, a major frequency is observed at the frequency 850 to 950 Hz. The speed of the pressure wave is calculated from [12]:

\[ c = \sqrt{\frac{B_{\text{fuel}}}{\rho_{\text{fuel}}}} \]  

(2)

If Bulk modulus of the fuel \( B_{\text{fuel}} \) is assumed between 1.4x10^9 to 2x10^9 Pa, (typically 1.7x10^9) and the diesel fuel density, \( \rho_{\text{fuel}} \) is 850 kg/m^3, the wave speed would then be 1440 m/sec. The fundamental frequency of a closed-open boundary system is calculated from the length of the pipe, \( l \) and the speed of the pressure wave, \( c \) and is given as [12]:

\[ f_{\text{closed-open}} = \frac{c}{4l} \]  

(3)

The pipe length required to reflect the pressure wave with this frequency should be nearly 0.38 to 0.43 m. The length of the high-pressure fuel line between the fuel pump and the injector inlet was found to be 0.395 m, which confirmed the observation. Similar observations were reported earlier [12] with almost the same dominant frequency of 850 Hz at 1600 rpm and 4.39 bar BMEP. Baumann et al. [17] reported a value of 850 Hz using Magneto-Elastic Sensors. They concluded that with such information it is possible to estimate the influence of pressure waves on neighboring injections.

### 3.2 Injector trigger signals

The Electronic Control Unit (ECU) activates each fuel injector 4 times per cycle. A fraction of the fuel is first injected into the cylinder before the compression

![Figure 4](image)

*Figure 4 Frequency analysis of the fuel line pressure*
The amount of fuel injected through any injection period is mainly a function of the injection pressure and injection period. The injector opening period is shown to increase with engine load at a constant speed to increase the amount of fuel injected as the injection pressure does not change marginally with engine load as shown in Figure 3 b. On the other hand, the injection duration is shown to decrease with the engine speed but the dramatic rise of injection pressure with speed (shown in Figure 3 a) compensates for this decrease and finally, the amount of fuel injected also increases with engine speed.

Two more short injections (1st and 2nd preparations) signals are timed just before the end of the exhaust stroke and just after the start of the suction stroke. These two injections control the preparation of the fresh homogenous charge for the next cycle. The 1st preparation signal is timely adjusted so that fuel is injected into the cylinder 1.5 ms just before the Top Dead Centre (TDC) at the end of the exhaust stroke. This early injection is made to prepare the homogeneous air-fuel charge. The remaining fuel is injected close to the TDC to control the combustion phase and this portion controls the beginning and duration of combustion [43]. Figure 5 shows the measured four trigger signals and their timing in crank angle degrees averaged over 42 successive cycles at 1527 rpm and 86 N.m.

The first activation signal (pilot injection) each time lasts for a very short period, 0.3 to 0.75 ms depending on the engine speed and load. It is timely adjusted so that fuel is injected into the cylinder 1.5 to 3 ms just before the Top Dead Centre (TDC) at the end of the compression stroke.

The small amount of injected fuel triggers the combustion of the fuel already present in the charge. Nearly 1 to 2 ms later, the injector is triggered again (main injection) for a period of nearly 0.4 to 0.9 ms. The fuel-injected in this case, at high pressure, initiates the main wave of combustion. The details of injector activation signals start, end and duration are presented in Tables 3 and 4 for speeds of 1500 and 2500 rpm respectively for three different loads.

The amount of fuel injected through any injection period is mainly a function of the injection pressure and injection period. The injector opening period is shown to increase with engine load at a constant speed to increase the amount of fuel injected as the injection pressure does not change marginally with engine load as shown in Figure 3 b. On the other hand, the injection duration is shown to decrease with the engine speed but the dramatic rise of injection pressure with speed (shown in Figure 3 a) compensates for this decrease and finally, the amount of fuel injected also increases with engine speed.

Two more short injections (1st and 2nd preparations) signals are timed just before the end of the exhaust stroke and just after the start of the suction stroke. These two injections control the preparation of the fresh homogenous charge for the next cycle. The 1st preparation signal is timely adjusted so that fuel is injected into the cylinder 1.5 ms just before the Top Dead Centre (TDC) at the end of the exhaust stroke. It ends almost at the end

---

**Table 3** The details of injector activation signals start, end and duration at engine speed of 1500 rpm and different loads (50, 100 and 150 N.m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pilot injection</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Main injection</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start [ms]</td>
<td>End [ms]</td>
<td>Duration [ms]</td>
<td>Start [ms]</td>
<td>End [ms]</td>
<td>Duration [ms]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-50</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-100</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-150</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** The details of injector activation signals start, end and duration at engine speed of 2500 rpm and different loads (50, 100 and 150 N.m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pilot injection</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Main injection</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start [ms]</td>
<td>End [ms]</td>
<td>Duration [ms]</td>
<td>Start [ms]</td>
<td>End [ms]</td>
<td>Duration [ms]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-50</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-100</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500-150</td>
<td>-3.01</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 5** The measured four trigger signals averaged over 42 successive cycles in crank angle scale at speed of 1527 rpm and load of 86 N.m
of the exhaust stroke then the injector is triggered again (2nd preparation signal) for a period of another 1.5 ms. The period between the end of the 1st preparation and the start of the second preparation signal is about 1 ms. The numerical data about the four injections at different engine speeds and loads is introduced and utilized in numerical simulation of the multi-injection common rail injection system in [44].

It is noticed that the pilot and main injections are smooth and continuous. However, the trigger signals before and after the TDC before the suction stroke, consist of multiples of very short successive triggers. Short pulse widths are used enhance the evaporation and fuel-air mixing [30].

### 3.3 Cylinder pressure, AHRR and fuel-burning rate

Two approaches, heat-release rate and fuel mass burning rate can be used to acquire combustion information from pressure data [45]. Both techniques assume a uniform temperature of cylinder contents during the combustion process. Assuming the cylinder charge as a single zone and using the ideal gas law and neglecting crevice volume, blow-by and fuel injection effects, the first law of thermodynamics may be reduced to:

$$\frac{d(mu)}{dt} = -p \frac{dv}{dt} + \frac{dQ}{dt} + h_v \frac{dm}{dt}. \quad (4)$$

Many approaches for AHRR calculation have been offered in literature but the most widely used is that developed by Krieger and Borman [46]. The model is based on a polynomial fitting constant to compute the specific heat ratio \(c_p/c_v\) as a function of crank angle. This model was reported to strive for accuracy in representing the thermodynamic properties of the in-cylinder charge and involve substantial computations [47] and [48].

According to the sensitivity study for the significant variables carried by Krieger and Borman [49], the dissociation of the in-cylinder gases was ignored then \(u\) and \(R\) can be treated as constants, which allow for more simplifications. Following the steps explained in detail in Bosila [50], the Fuel-Burning Rate is calculated from the Equation 5:

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \left[1 + (c_v/R)\right]p \frac{dV}{dt} + (c_p/R)u \frac{dp}{dt} - (dQ/dt)$$

$$D = \frac{m(1 + f_s)}{m_i(f_i)}, \quad (6)$$

where: \(m\) - Mass of cylinder contents (kg), \(u\) - Specific internal energy of the combustible mixture (kJ/kg), \(p\) - Instantaneous cylinder pressure (N/m²), \(V\) - Instantaneous cylinder volume (m³), \(Q\) - The heat transfer to the gas within the combustion chamber, \(h_f\) - Fuel specific enthalpy (kJ/kg), \(f\) - Instantaneous equivalence ratio, \(T\) - Instantaneous temperature of the charge inside the cylinder, which calculated from ideal gas equation, \(R\) - The charge gas constant; \(R(p, T, \varphi)\) calculated from correlation [50], the subscript \(o\) denotes the initial value before fuel, the subscript \(s\) denotes the stoichiometric value.

The AHRR is computed by multiplying the premeditated fuel burning rate by the fuel heating value. However, the associated AHRR uncertainty is calculated only for the fuel burning rate. Heywood [45] and Petitpas et al. [51] concluded that the uncertainty of AHRR is mostly due to the uncertainty of \(\frac{dP}{d\varphi}\), which is calculated from Equation (7)

$$U_j \left( \frac{dp}{d\varphi} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_j (U^2_j (p_j) + U^2_j (q_j))}{2 j}} \frac{P_i - P_{j-1}}{j - i} U^i_j (q_j). \quad (7)$$

Calculations derived from Equation (7) result in max. uncertainty of \(\frac{dP}{d\varphi}\) is ±3.27%.

Figure 6 shows an example of the calculated charge temperature and the AHRR at the engine speed of

![Figure 6 Calculated AHHR and in-cylinder temperature at 2068 rpm and brake load of 200 Nm](image-url)
loads and speeds. It is observed that all the values are lower by nearly 7% compared to similar engines with traditional fuel injection systems [56]. Maximum temperatures, at different operating conditions, evaluated from thermodynamic relations during heat release calculations are also plotted in Figure 8. This would result in lower NOx emission, not recorded in this work, especially at part load. Such observation was reported in previous publications [36, 57-58], which, however, mentioned that CO percentage in the exhaust gases slightly increases. This was attributed to the expected incomplete combustion especially at high loads when little excess air is available.

3.4 Mutual effect between injection and combustion parameters

The measured in-cylinder, fuel line pressures and the injector trigger signal are plotted during the combustion period at 3 different speeds and loads, Figures 9 to 11. The calculated charge temperature and the AHRR are shown in the figure in each case.

It can be seen that the pilot injection trigger signal is advanced as engine speed increases (typically 1.5 to 3 ms before TDC). This is typical, because the delay period (approximately 1 to 1.5 ms), increases in terms of crank angle and the combustion is planned to start nearly 1 ms or less before TDC.

The second (main) injection trigger signal starts after nearly 1.5 to 2 ms followed by a shorter delay (typically 0.7 to 1 ms) before the main combustion wave commences. The delay period of the main injection is shorter than that of the pilot injection due to the higher temperature attained during the main injection than that in the pilot injection due to the heat released during the low-temperature reaction. The temperature curve at each operating condition is shown to increase more steeply in the periods of the low and high-temperature reactions (Consistent with pressure and HRR curves) and decreases in the period between the low and high temperatures reactions.

The recorded cylinder pressure exhibited the maximum values shown in Figure 7, at different

---

68 rpm and a brake load of 200 N.m. It is seen that heat is released in waves (4 in this case). The number of burning waves, however, is not the same in all the cases, but changes with engine speed and brake load as will be explained in section 3.4. This means that in the HCCI engine the HRR curve is spread over a higher crank angle range, which decreases the maximum cycle pressure and temperature. In the case of the conventional diesel engine with similar specification [52], the peak heat release rate is about 220 J/degree in a single HR, whereas, in multiple injection HCCI, it is about (80-90) J/degree in high-temperature reaction (HTR) and (15-30) in low-temperature reaction (LTR).

Chen [53] analyzed the maximum in-cylinder pressure by considering that the peak cylinder pressure $p_{\text{max}}$ is composed of the intake manifold air pressure $p_{\text{in}}$ and two pressure rises from $p_{\text{in}}$; the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to the cylinder content compression/expansion without combustion; and the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to combustion and heat added to the cylinder content, which also undergoes compression/expansion thereafter toward $p_{\text{max}}$. The polytropic index plays a key role in the prediction of the pressure during the compression stroke [54]. It is affected by the polytropic exponent of compression, which decreases in HCCI engines due to the injection of an amount of fuel into the cylinder before compression. In addition, the thermal conductivity of the mixture increases with the amount of injected fuel [55]. The heat transfer between gases and the wall will increase. This suggested that $p_{\text{max}}$ will decrease in HCCI engines than that of conventional engines. Concerning the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to the combustion added to the cylinder content, it was shown previously that the maximum heat release rate in HCCI engines is much lower than that of conventional engines and the HRR curve of HCCI engines is spread over a higher crank angle range. This results in lower maximum in-cylinder pressure. However, relatively higher pressure, compared to the conventional engine combustion, presents over a wide crank angle range.

The recorded cylinder pressure exhibited the maximum values shown in Figure 7, at different

---

Figure 7 Maximum measured combustion pressure

Figure 8 Maximum calculated combustion temperature

2068 rpm and a brake load of 200 N.m. It is seen that heat is released in waves (4 in this case). The number of burning waves, however, is not the same in all the cases, but changes with engine speed and brake load as will be explained in section 3.4. This means that in the HCCI engine the HRR curve is spread over a higher crank angle range, which decreases the maximum cycle pressure and temperature. In the case of the conventional diesel engine with similar specification [52], the peak heat release rate is about 220 J/degree in a single HR, whereas, in multiple injection HCCI, it is about (80-90) J/degree in high-temperature reaction (HTR) and (15-30) in low-temperature reaction (LTR).

Chen [53] analyzed the maximum in-cylinder pressure by considering that the peak cylinder pressure $p_{\text{max}}$ is composed of the intake manifold air pressure $p_{\text{in}}$ and two pressure rises from $p_{\text{in}}$; the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to the cylinder content compression/expansion without combustion; and the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to combustion and heat added to the cylinder content, which also undergoes compression/expansion thereafter toward $p_{\text{max}}$. The polytropic index plays a key role in the prediction of the pressure during the compression stroke [54]. It is affected by the polytropic exponent of compression, which decreases in HCCI engines due to the injection of an amount of fuel into the cylinder before compression. In addition, the thermal conductivity of the mixture increases with the amount of injected fuel [55]. The heat transfer between gases and the wall will increase. This suggested that $p_{\text{max}}$ will decrease in HCCI engines than that of conventional engines. Concerning the pressure rise $p_{\text{max}}$ due to the combustion added to the cylinder content, it was shown previously that the maximum heat release rate in HCCI engines is much lower than that of conventional engines and the HRR curve of HCCI engines is spread over a higher crank angle range. This results in lower maximum in-cylinder pressure. However, relatively higher pressure, compared to the conventional engine combustion, presents over a wide crank angle range.

The recorded cylinder pressure exhibited the maximum values shown in Figure 7, at different
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Figure 9 AHRR, cylinder temperature, injector signal, fuel line and cylinder pressures at RPM=1027, brake load = 14 Nm

Figure 10 AHRR, cylinder temperature, injector signal, fuel line and cylinder pressures at RPM=1516, brake load = 99 Nm
The early charge preparation method results in improved mixture homogeneity compared to other methods. Two stages of combustion are identified for HCCI engines due to the low and high-temperature chemical kinetics of diesel fuel. So more than one peak are noticed on the pressure history diagram. These peaks are due to the multiple heat release rate attained during combustion as shown in Figure 9.

At low brake loads, (Figure 9) too much air is present in the combustible mixture. This decreases the flame front travel speed, which results in a low rate of temperature rise. Single-zone modelling suggested a smallest temperature threshold of 1400 to 1500 K for the in-cylinder temperature for complete combustion before expansion [7]. Consequently, a considerable portion of the fuel present in the fresh charge remains un-burnt after the second wave of combustion. This portion burns as it reaches the self-ignition, which can happen in several instances causing more waves of combustion, especially at lower engine speeds.

As the engine speed and load increase, the injection pressure and durations increase and consequently, the injected fuel rate increases at each split injection [58-59]. This may explain the higher value of maximum heat released at higher engine speed and load.

The combustion waves cause the cylinder pressure to simultaneously increase over the polytropic expansion usually experienced with traditional injection systems. The combustion, therefore, is spread over a long duration while the piston is moving down away from the TDC.

The pressure waves in the fuel line pressure are initiated at the moment at which the injector starts to open. This instant follows the first injection trigger signal by almost 0.5 ms at speeds of 1516 and 2531 rpm while it was about 1 ms at speed of 1027 rpm. The main injection trigger signal is also followed by the second pressure wave, which adds to the previous one. The resulting net pressure variation pattern seems to happen invariably at all speeds and loads with minor effects of further heat release waves.

4 Conclusions

The injection and combustion parameters of an HCCI Diesel engine with a Common Rail direct fuel injection system are experimentally investigated. Measured injector activation signal suggested that the fresh charge is internally prepared by injecting fuel twice, just before and then after the Top Dead Center before the suction stroke. Combustion is triggered by a pilot injection, 1.5 to 3 milliseconds (ms) before the Top Dead Center, followed by a secondary (main) injection, typically starting at the top dead center.
The mean value of the fuel line pressure is found to increase with engine speed and marginally with the load. Typical mean values are 700 bar at 1000 rpm and increases almost linearly with speed to nearly 1600 bar at 3000 rpm.

The pilot and main injector trigger signals are found smooth and continuous. However, the trigger signals before and after the TDC before the suction stroke, consist of multiples of very short successive triggers. The variations in the signal pattern from cycle to cycle are also very small. It is difficult, however, to determine the amount of fuel injected during these two periods.

The Fuel Burning Rate is calculated and reported at different operating conditions. It is seen that the heat is released in waves depending on the engine speed and load. The number of burning waves increases with the decrease of engine speed and load. The pilot injection trigger signal timing is typically 1.5 to 3 ms before TDC and the first combustion wave starts nearly 1 to 1.5 ms later. The second (main) injection signal timing is nearly 1 to 2 ms after the first and is followed by a typical delay of 0.7 to 1 ms after which the second wave of combustion commences. At low brake loads, however, more waves of combustion are observed especially at low speeds. This is attributed to the lower equivalence ratio and low charge temperature and consequently lower flame speed.

The maximum value of heat release wave increases with the increase of engine speed and load due to the increase of injection pressure and durations. Consequently, the mass of fuel injected.

Pressure waves in the fuel line pressure are initiated almost 0.5 ms after the pilot injection trigger signal. The main injection trigger signal is also followed by the second pressure wave, which adds to the previous one. The resulting net pressure variation pattern seems to happen invariably at all speeds and loads and even at all injector fuel lines. The FFT transform of the fuel line pressure signal shows that the major frequency of the signal is nearly the same, 850 to 950 Hz. Calculating the period of pressure wave travel from the pipe length and fuel Bulk's modulus confirms the measured frequency based on pipe length of 0.395 m and free-closed boundary condition.

The maximum cycle values of measured cylinder pressure and calculated temperature are presented at different loads and speeds. It is observed that all values are lower by nearly 7% compared to similar engines with a traditional fuel injection system. Thus, the lower NOx emission, not measured in this work, especially at part loads is to be expected.

The presented experimental measurements results and conclusions could be very useful for developing simulation models that help in engine assessment, modeling, control, emission reduction and power saving. However, further investigations are needed to assess the practical response of the fuel injector to each trigger signal. This is necessary to evaluate the amount of fuel injected at each stage and the homogeneous charge characteristics. More work is needed to develop a fuel-burning rate model for engine simulation programs, that suit the conditions of combustion in such charge.
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