1. Introduction

Coefficient of Efficiency is defined as the ratio of Input to Output of an aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year is studied using analytical methods and graphic instruments with regard to equilibrium $E = \frac{Input}{Output}$. If $E < 1$ then the value of performance is lower than invested financial resources and it is necessary to seek the cause of such negative occurrence. If $E > 1$ then the proven work performance of university teacher or organisational unit should be additionally appreciated, although norms should not be increased. Expected equilibrium $E = 1$ might exhibit certain signs of instability if unit quality (balanced, standardized) $PP$ for performance evaluation is set incorrectly.

2. Measurability of the basic pedagogical performance

Quality of university teacher’s $PP$ per one academic year is defined by the following formula:

$$PP = \sum (NSG) (NSC) + \sum (NDT) (NSC) + \sum (NMT) (NSC) + \sum (NBT) (NSC) + \sum (NPG) (NSC),$$

where $NSG$ is number of supervised study groups, $NDT$ is number of supervised dissertation theses, $NMT$ is number of supervised master theses, $NBT$ is number of supervised bachelor theses, $NPG$ is number of supervised scientific, professional and artistic activity groups and $NSC$ is number of standard credits. Proposed number of standard credits is indicated in the Table 1. In the brackets after the number of standard credits $NSC$ next to each item is indicated number of optimal occurrences $NOO$ of given item (in total maximum of points):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NSG</th>
<th>NDT</th>
<th>NMT</th>
<th>NBT</th>
<th>NPG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSC (NOO)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>12 (1)</td>
<td>6 (2)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Measurability of basic scientific performance

Quality of university teacher’s $SP$ per one academic year is defined by the following formula:

$$SP = \sum (NPM) (NSC) + \sum (NSA) (NSC) + \sum (NPA) (NSC) + \sum (NRP) (NSC) + \sum (NQP) (NSC),$$

where $NPM$ is the number of published scientific and professional monographs, $NSA$ is the number of published scientific articles, $NPA$ is the number of published professional articles, $NRP$ is the number of reviewed scientific and professional papers, $NQP$ is the number of cited scientific and professional papers, $NSC$ is the number of
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standard credits. Proposed number of standard credits is indicated in the Table 2. In the brackets after the number of standard credits NSC next to each item is indicated number of optimal occurrences NOO of given item (in total maximum of 40 points if we do not include separately evaluated item NPM):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(the formula for SP) Proposed number of standardized credits</th>
<th>Tab. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPM</td>
<td>NSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC (NOO)</td>
<td>20 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If university teacher receives at least 60 points for the basic pedagogical performance PP and 40 points for basic scientific performance SP then the set criteria were met and we can conclude that standardized basic work performance was achieved. Formulas for PP and SP may be modified if the ratio PP : SP = 60 : 40 is maintained.

Pedagogical university teacher’s performance PP can be specified in a greater detail, however quality level and optimal quantification of operations noted below are subjects to further research and its results are beyond capacity of this article. Here we present only a list of possible items [4].

4. Direct pedagogical activities:

Lecturing in full-time study programmes; facilitating seminars and workshops in full-time study programmes; lecturing (consulting) in doctoral studies; leading consultations in part-time study programmes; leading excursions and internship programs for students.

5. Indirect pedagogical activities:

preparation of lectures for full-time study programmes; preparation of seminars and workshops for full-time study programmes; consulting hours for students (personal and electronic); preparation of lectures (consultations) for doctoral studies; preparation of consultations for part-time study programmes; development of tests, exam papers and tasks for semester and final evaluation of students; evaluation of full-time and part-time students during the semester (evaluation of course papers, projects, etc.); final evaluation of full-time and part-time students; examination of doctoral students at the end of course syllabus; membership in a state exam commission; membership in rigorous commission; membership in commission for examination of doctoral students; supervision of bachelor theses; supervision of master theses; supervision of rigorous theses; supervision of dissertation theses; revision of bachelor theses; revision of master theses; revision of rigorous theses; revision of dissertation theses; revision of habilitation and inauguration papers; management of S&REDA (Student research and development activities) and other activities related to the pedagogical activities (record of results of passing a subject in academic information system, creation of themes for theses, preparation of written materials for students, etc.).

Scientific university teacher’s performance SP can be specified in a greater detail. E.g. we could take into account percentage share of an author in publications with more than one author as [2] and [3] are. However quality level and optimal quantification of operations noted below are subject to further research and its results are beyond capacity of this article. Here we present only a list of possible items.

6. Scientific research and publication activities:

Creation of a scientific monograph; chapters in scientific monographs; academic textbook creation; chapters in academic textbooks; scientific articles in journals; almanacs and monographs; presentations in the conferences; reports about solved scientific research tasks; copyright certificates, patents and inventions; professional books; chapters in professional books; textbooks for primary and secondary schools; lecture scripts and notes; chapters in lecture scripts and notes; professional articles in journals and almanacs; abstracts, posters, slogans in technical terminology dictionaries, standards, norms, translations; audio-visual works, works of art; reviews, reports about research projects; leading the team of authors creating monographs, textbooks, lecture scripts and notes; implementation of research activities; management of grant and non-grant projects (departmental, faculty, etc.) - team management, administration; membership in a scientific school council; membership in a commission for study fields and joint commissions for study fields; membership in a committee for project review; membership in an editorial board of a journal; other activities connected with scientific research (project development, organizational work, conference management).

7. Other activities:

learning new knowledge; field of study supervisor; course coordinator; member of rector’s advisory board; member of dean’s advisory board; member of an accreditation committee (of ministry, school, work group); member of the Academic Senate; position in the Trade Union; Head of Department; Deputy Head of Department; Department Secretary; training activities in the faculty (university of 3rd age, professional training courses and seminars); departmental meetings; ESF project coordinator; ESF project team member.

8. The Coefficient of efficiency

The item Output in the formula for the Coefficient of efficiency $E$ of an aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year can be determined by the formula:

$$Output = \frac{PP + SP}{100} \times ATW.$$
where ATW is an annual tariff wage (gross) determined by statute or other linking regulation.

The item Input in the formula for the Coefficient of efficiency E of an aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year can be determined by the formula:

\[ Input = ATW + BNF, \]

where BNF are financial benefits (gross) received above the framework ATW.

The Coefficient of efficiency E of an aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year can be determined by the formula:

\[ E = \frac{Output}{Input} = \frac{PP + SP}{100} \frac{ATW}{ATW + BNF}. \]

In general, the Coefficient of efficiency of a function of four independent real variables PP, SP, ATW and BNF where \(PP \geq 0, SP \geq 0, ATW > 0, BNF \geq 0, E \in [0, \infty)\). Balanced state \(E = 1\) occurs, for example, when \((PP, SP, ATW, BNF) = (60, 40, ATW, 0)\).

If we assume that \(BNF = 0\) then \(E = \frac{PP + SP}{100}\). The performance of university teacher can be stated in percentage, it applies that \(E(\%) = \frac{ATW}{PP + SP} \frac{ATW + BNF}{ATW + BNF}\), respectively \(E(\%) = PP + SP\) if \(BNF = 0\).

9. The specific model situation and its evaluation

Suppose that the organisational unit of university consists of \(n \geq 1, n \in N\) teachers. This may be one teacher, a group of several professors, several associated professors, several associates, several assistants in the department, but also a group of all teachers in the department, and so forth.

The Coefficient of efficiency E of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance \((n = 1, i = 1)\) and of a group of university teachers \((n > 1, i = 1, 2, ..., n)\) per one academic year, provided that the value of the group member’s performance \(PP + SP\) is comparable, can be determined by the formula:

\[ E = \frac{Output}{Input} = \frac{\sum_i (PP_i) (SP_i)}{100n} \frac{\sum_i ATW_i}{\sum_i (ATW_i) (BNF_i)}, \]

where the expression \(\frac{\sum_i (PP_i) (SP_i)}{100n}\) represents the mean value of random variable \(X = \frac{\sum_i (PP_i) (SP_i)}{100} = \frac{\sum_i (SP_i)}{100}, ..., \frac{\sum_i (SP_i)}{100}\).

The Coefficient of efficiency \(E\) of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance \((n = 1, i = 1)\) and of a group of university teachers \((n > 1, i = 1, 2, ..., n)\) per one academic year, provided that the value \((ATW + BNF)\) of the group members is comparable and the random variable \(Y = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)\) represents such Coefficients of efficiency of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance within considered group that belong to normal statistical distribution can be determined by the formula:

\[ E = \frac{[\sum_i (PP_i) + (SP_i)] (ATW_i)}{\sum_i (ATW_i) + (BNF_i)} = \frac{\sum_i (PP_i) + (SP_i)}{100} \frac{ATW}{ATW + BNF}. \]

The Coefficient of efficiency \(E\) of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance \((n = 1, i = 1)\) and of a group of university teachers \((n > 1, i = 1, 2, ..., n)\) per one academic year, provided that the value \((ATW + BNF)\) of the group members is comparable and the random variable \(Y = (E_1, E_2, ..., E_n)\) represents such Coefficients of efficiency of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance within considered group that belong to normal statistical distribution can be determined by the formula:

\[ E = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E_i \text{ or } E = \text{median}(Y). \]

University may determine its own optimal level \(E_0 \leq 1\) for the Coefficient of efficiency of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance or of a group of university teachers per one academic year.

Employee whose Coefficient of efficiency is \(E, E \leq E_0\) can be loaded by one time cash compensation \(x, x \geq 0\) (reduction of \(E\) to \(E_0\)):

\[ E_0 = \frac{PP + SP}{100} \frac{ATW}{ATW + BNF} - x. \]

\[ x = BNF + \left(1 - \frac{PP + SP}{100E_0}\right) ATW. \]

Employee, whose Coefficient of efficiency is \(E, E \geq E_0\) can be rewarded by one time cash compensation \(y, y \geq 0\) (reduction of \(E\) to \(E_0\)):

\[ E_0 = \frac{PP + SP}{100} \frac{ATW}{ATW + BNF} - y. \]
10. Example for calculating the Coefficient of efficiency and the amount of monetary compensation

Consider the model situation according to Table 3 for two employees Emp1, Emp2.

Calculate the Coefficient of efficiency $E_1$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the employee Emp1 and the Coefficient of efficiency $E_2$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the employee Emp2.

Calculate the Coefficient of efficiency $E_3$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2 by applying the formula for comparable values $PP + SP$.

Calculate the Coefficient of efficiency $E_4$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2 by applying the formula for incomparable values $PP + SP$.

Calculate the Coefficient of efficiency $E_5$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2 by applying the formula for comparable values $ATW + BNF$.

Performance and income of workers Tab. 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$PP$</th>
<th>$SP$</th>
<th>$ATW$</th>
<th>$BNF$</th>
<th>$PP + SP$</th>
<th>$ATW + BNF$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emp1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emp2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values $ATW$ and $BNF$ are presented in theoretical monetary terms. Then we have

$$E_1 = \frac{PP + SP \cdot ATW}{100 \cdot ATW + BNF} = \frac{89 \cdot 1000}{100 \cdot 1200} \approx 0.741666666$$

$$E_2 = \frac{PP + SP \cdot ATW}{100 \cdot ATW + BNF} = \frac{104 \cdot 1200}{100 \cdot 1500} = 0.832$$

$$E_3 = \sum \frac{(PP_i) + (SP_i)}{100n} \cdot \sum \frac{(ATW_i)}{n} \cdot \sum \frac{(ATW_i) + (BNF_i)}{n} = \frac{89 + 104 \cdot 1000 + 1200}{200 \cdot 1200 + 1500} = 0.786296296$$

$$E_4 = \frac{\sum \frac{(PP_i) + (SP_i)}{100} \cdot (ATW_i)}{\sum \frac{(ATW_i) + (BNF_i)}{n}} = \frac{89}{100} \cdot \frac{1000 + 104}{1200} = 0.791851851$$

$$E_5 = \frac{E_1 + E_2}{2} = median(E_1, E_2) = \frac{0.741666666 + 0.832}{2} \approx 0.786833333$$

We can conclude from the calculations that the return of the invested financial resources in case the employee Emp1 (Emp2) is at the level of 74.17% (83.20%).

The Coefficient of efficiency $E_3(\%)$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2, provided that the values $PP + SP$ of the group members are comparable, is on the level 78.63%.

The Coefficient of efficiency $E_4(\%)$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2, provided that the values $PP + SP$ of the group members are incomparable, is on the level 79.19%.

The Coefficient of efficiency $E_5(\%)$ of the aggregated basic work performance of the group of employees Emp1 and Emp2, provided that the values $ATW + BNF$ of the group members are comparable, is on the level 78.68%.

For given model situation applies that $E_3(\%) = E_4(\%) = E_5(\%) = 79\%$.

Consider that the optimum level of the Coefficient of efficiency of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year is the value $E_0 = 0.832$. Then the employee Emp2 deserves zero cash compensation $x_2 = 0$ and the employee deserves payroll deduction in a form of single monetary compensation $x_1, x_1 \geq 0$:

$$x_1 = BNF + \left(1 - \frac{PP + SP}{100 E_0}\right) ATW =$$

$$= 200 + \left(1 - \frac{89}{83.2}\right) 1000 \approx 130.2884615$$

of theoretical monetary units.

Consider that the optimum level of the Coefficient of efficiency of the aggregated basic university teacher’s work performance per one academic year is the value $E_0 = 0.741666666$. Then the employee Emp1 deserves zero cash compensation $y_1 = 0$ and the employee Emp2 deserves payroll bonus in a form of single monetary compensation $y_2, y_2 \geq 0$:  

$$y = -BNF + \left(\frac{PP + SP}{100 E_0} - 1\right) ATW.$$
$y_2 = -BNF + \left( \frac{PP + SP}{100E_n} - 1 \right) ATW =
\begin{align*}
&= -300 + \left( \frac{104}{74.1666666} - 1 \right) 1200 \\
&\approx 182.6966307
\end{align*}$

of theoretical monetary units.
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