1. Introduction

E-mobility importance in the world has been continuously growing. The range of electric vehicles is considered a major barrier to the acceptance of e-mobility [1]. The battery is the most important component for increasing the range of electric vehicles. New technologies can improve specific energy of the batteries. Also lightweight materials are increasing the electric vehicle range. Another possibility for increasing the range is the use of a range extender [2]. It is a combustion engine which charges the batteries via generator [3 and 4].

Simulations were created in Matlab/Simulink to analyse the parameters affecting the range. Real route as an input to the simulation for better accuracy was used. Routes were measured by using the experimental vehicle Edison.

2. Electric vehicle simulation

Matlab/Simulink was chosen for the simulation. Simulink is an extension of Matlab for modelling and simulation of dynamic systems. The electric vehicle model consists of five main subsystems. Inputs to the simulation are measured data from a real driving cycle. Demanded power is calculated in the dynamic subsystem based on chosen parameters of the vehicle. Speed and efficiency characteristics are defined in the electric motor model by using a lookup table. Efficiency characteristic is defined for the motor and generator mode and takes into account losses in the controller. Discharging and charging of the battery is controlled in the control strategy subsystem. Battery behaviour is determined in the battery subsystem [5, 6, 7, 8 and 9].

The demanded power for vehicle propulsion supplied from the battery is calculated by equation (1).

\[ P_{d, abs} = \left[ m \frac{dv}{dt} \lambda + mg(\sin \alpha + f \cos \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} c_s S_x \rho v^2 \right] \frac{v}{f} \]  

where \( v \) is the speed of the vehicle, \( m \) is the weight, \( \lambda \) is the rotational inertia factor, \( \alpha \) is the road angle, \( f \) is the rolling resistance coefficient, \( c_s \) is the aerodynamic drag coefficient, \( S_x \) is the frontal area, \( \rho \) is the air density, \( \eta \) is the efficiency of the drive, where is included the efficiency of the individual elements of the drive such as electric motor and mechanical efficiency [10].

Power provided via recuperation kinetic energy during braking is calculated:

\[ P_{d, abs} = \left[ m \frac{dv}{dt} \lambda + mg(\sin \alpha + \cos \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} c_s S_x \rho v^2 \right] \frac{v}{f} \]  

Total energy flow \( E_{bat} \) to the battery is expressed by the formula (3).

\[ E_{bat} = \int P_{d, abs} \, dt - \int P_{d, abs} \, dt \]  

The resulting range of electric vehicle to the battery discharge is expressed:

\[ s = \int_{SOC}^{SOC} v \, dt \]  

---
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4. Analysis of parameters influencing the range

The electric vehicle Edison can also measure energy consumption via its data logging system. Therefore, it is possible to compare the results of simulation with reality. Construction parameters of the experimental vehicle Edison were selected as an input to the simulation. To the curb weight of the EDISON was added the weight of two passengers and cargo. The total vehicle weight was 1250 kg. The 24 kWh battery pack was chosen. It was selected lithium battery with capacity of 24 kWh. The battery was not discharged below 10%. Nominal power of the electric motor was 16 kW.

Validation of the model with reality was performed on routes 1 and 2. Real energy consumption of the electric vehicle 15.41 kWh/100km was measured on route 1. Simulated energy consumption of the electric vehicle was 15.56 kWh/100km on route 1. In the second case real energy consumption 13.81 kWh/100km was measured on route 2. Simulated energy consumption was 14.35 kWh/100km on route 2. The difference between reality and simulation was 1% on route 1 and 3.9% on route 2. Impact on the electric vehicle range was simulated on these models with various weights and various aerodynamic drag coefficients. Also the impact of low temperatures was simulated on the range.
Impact of weight

One of the possibilities to increase the range is to reduce the vehicle weight. Figure 4 shows the impact of vehicle weight on the range and Fig. 5 shows the impact of the weight on energy consumption.

Impact of aerodynamic drag coefficient $c_x$

The aerodynamic drag coefficient value is commonly 0.32 for city vehicles. As you can see in Fig. 6, the aerodynamic drag coefficient had a bigger impact on the range on route 2 because aerodynamic drag rises quadratically with speed. The average speed on route 2 was 18.6 km.h$^{-1}$ and on route 1 was 41.5 km.h$^{-1}$.

Impact of low temperature

The electric vehicles suffer from significant range loss in sub-zero temperatures. The poor performance of Lithium cells at sub-zero temperatures implies significantly increased internal resistance [13, 14 and 15]. Figure 8 shows behaviour of LiFeYPO$_4$ battery at 0°C and 18°C temperature when vehicle needed power of 10.4 kW for propulsion. Low 0°C temperature caused the battery voltage drop and current increasing. The battery model was modified for low temperatures impact simulation. The battery model was modified based on the testing of EV Edison at 0 °C at the University of Zilina campus. Figure 9 shows how the range which was simulated on the route 1 changed when the temperature was 0 °C. The simulation shows that the range is decreased approximately by 7.5 kilometres in all weight variations. It should be noted that the simulation did not take into account energy which is needed for heating the passenger area. In Figure 10 you can see that energy consumption increased approximately by 0.8 kWh/100km due to low temperature.
weight rather than the aerodynamic drag coefficient. Climatic conditions especially low temperatures have significant impact on the range of electric vehicles. When designing electric vehicles it is also beneficial to focus on the systems which can heat the batteries to the appropriate temperature when the battery has low internal resistance. The gained results from simulations, mainly from the simulations of low temperature impact will be used in development of the on-board systems which will inform the driver about the range with better accuracy.
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5. Conclusion

The simulation results show that for increasing the range of city electric vehicles it is better to focus on decreasing the
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